

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1600 Alexandria Viginia 223 3-1450 www.usptb.g

CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/731,604 12/08/2003 Srikanth Karimisetty 021756-002100US 4746 **EXAMINER** 51206 7590 05/18/2006 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW LLP ONI, OLUBUSOLA TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 8TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834 2168

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/731,604	KARIMISETTY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	OLUBUSOLA ONI	2168
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 December 2003</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 		
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		
Attachment(s)		(070,440)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/08/2003.	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)

Detailed Action

 This action is responsive to communication: Application, filed on 12/08/2003.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chang et al. (Pat No: U.S 6,584,459) hereinafter "Chang"

For claim 1, Chang teaches "a method of searching unstructured data stored in a database" ([Col. 7, lines 54-67, Col. 10, lines 10-18, Col. 11, lines 34-40]), the method comprising:
storing unstructured data in a column of a database table" ([Col. 3, lines 26-Col. 4, lines 18, Col.7, lines 45-Col. 8, lines 43] where Chang's teaches of storing XML document reads on applicant's claim language);
"allowing a user to identify elements in the unstructured data as indexed elements"([Col. 14, lines 51-Col. 15,lines 1-10])
"creating an intermediate index into the unstructured data from the identified elements" ([Col. 8, lines 10-17])

"allowing a user to create queries on the unstructured data using the

Art Unit: 2168

indexed elements" (Col. 8, lines 10-17, Col. 20, lines 5-40).

For claim 2, Chang teaches "wherein the queries specify at least one value and an operation that is to be performed on an identified element" ([Col. 2, lines 61-67Col. 7,lines 46-Col. 8, lines 40, Col. 9, lines 11-28])

For claim 3, Chang teaches "wherein the queries further include a start date and an end date" ([Col. 19,lines 1-14, Col. 19, lines 56-64] wherein Chang's teaches of date and end/start, reads on applicants claim language)

For claim 4-5, Chang teaches "wherein the unstructured data..." ([Col. 8, lines 18-42, Col. 9,lines 19-28, Col. 12, lines 63-Col.13, lines40] wherein Chang teaches storing XML in tables, thus teaches are synonymous)

For claim 6, Chang teaches "wherein XML fields of the unstructured data are filled with transaction data from a database transaction based on a predefined mapping to multiple data sources"([Col. 2, lines 18-28])

For claim 7, Chang teaches "wherein the multiple data sources are comprise multiple tables of a database" ([Col. 2, lines 29-40] Chang's teaches of plurality of databases and tables, reads on applicant's claim language)

Art Unit: 2168

For claim 8, Change teaches "wherein the unstructured data is part of an electronic record stored in a common repository of electronic records that provides an audit trail that cannot be altered or disabled by users of the system" ([Col. 8,lines 19-40])

For claim 9, Chang teaches "a method of searching XML data stored in a column of a database table in character large object (CLOB) format"([Col. 8, lines 18-42, Col. 12, lines 63-Col.13, lines40], the method comprising:

"storing the XML data in the column of the database table, wherein the XML data comprises a first plurality of XML elements that conform to a first data type definition (DTD) and a second plurality of XML elements that conform to a second DTD"([Col. 8, lines 19-40, Col. 9, lines 14-28, Col. 10, lines 10-18, Col. 12, lines 35-48])

"allowing a user to identify elements from the first and second plurality of XML elements in XML data as indexed elements"([Col. 14, lines 51-Col. 15, lines 1-10])

"creating an intermediate index into the XML data from the identified elements" ([Col. 8, lines 10-17])

"allowing a user to create queries on the unstructured data using the indexed elements" (Col. 8, lines 10-17, Col. 20, lines 5-40).

For claim 10, Chang teaches "wherein the first and second DTDs include first and second XML elements, respectively, that share a common name but

Art Unit: 2168

represent different types of data and wherein the user can create a first indexed element that represents the first XML element and not the second XML element and a second indexed element that represents the second XML element and not the first XML element" ([Col. 8, lines 19-40, Col. 9, lines 14-28, Col. 10, lines 10-18, Col. 12, lines 35-48])

For claim 11, Chang teaches "a computer system for searching unstructured data stored in a database" ([Col. 7, lines 54-67, Col. 10, lines 10-18, Col. 11, lines 34-40]), the computer system comprising:

"a processor" ([Col. 6, lines 15-27]);

"a database" ([Col. 6, lines 15-27 Col. 7, lines 54-67, Col. 10, lines 10-18, Col. 11, lines 34-40])

"a computer-readable memory coupled to the processor, the computer-readable memory configured to store a computer program" ([Col.6, lines 54-Col. 7, lines 2])

wherein the processor is operative with the computer program to store unstructured data in a column of a database table" ([Col. 3, lines 26-Col. 4, lines 18, Col.7, lines 45-Col. 8, lines 43])

"allow a user to identify elements in the unstructured data as indexed elements" ([Col. 14, lines 51-Col. 15,lines 1-10]

"create an intermediate index into the unstructured data from the identified elements" ([Col. 8, lines 10-17])

Art Unit: 2168

"allow a user to create queries on the unstructured data using the indexed elements" (Col. 8, lines 10-17, Col. 20, lines 5-40).

For claim 12, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 2 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 13, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 4 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 14, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 5 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 16, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 1 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 17, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 2 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 18, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 4 and is similarly rejected.

For claim 19, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 5 and is similarly rejected.

Application/Control Number: 10/731,604 Page 7

Art Unit: 2168

For claim 20, this claim is rejected on grounds corresponding to the arguments given above for rejected claim 6 and is similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2168

Conclusion

3. The following prior art cited on the PTO-892 form, not relied upon, is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OLUBUSOLA ONI whose telephone number is 571-272-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 7.30-5.00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TIM VO can be reached on 571-272-3642. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OLUBUSOLA ONI Examiner Art Unit 2168

TIM VO PRIMARY EXAMINER